

I must say I'm dissatisfied that Sinclair Broadcasting is forcing their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is a prime example of the pitfalls of media consolidation. What if they decided to show radical pro-militant programming that advocated violence as a political tool? Would this finally warrant action on the part of the FCC?

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.